

A journey into PostgreSQL logical replication

The Next Chapter

José Neves - October 2024

The journey: prelude

Toggl Track evolved on top of a monolithic transactional PostgreSQL database.

With an ever-increasing dataset, we started to feel the need to move away from the transactional normalized - data structure to provide the analytical features that users were asking for.



The journey: the plan

Move it, transform it. Use it.

Leverage logical replication as a means of facilitating close to real-time transformation of our transactional data. Make it the source of a CDC pipeline.

We implemented our own logical replication client in GoLang, using **pglogrepl** (github.com/jackc/pglogrepl).





The journey: the plan

A major product requirement for our analytic features was near **real-time** availability.

Logical replication was our best bet to achieve it. And while at it, we managed to provide the means to "liberalize" access to "data changes".

Later on used to decrease latency in other processes such as internal BI tools, email notifications, or simply data propagation from sources of truth to other services.





The journey: the bad turn



Caring for data-changing events - only - turned out to be a **bad turn**.

Logical replication streams data that is already committed.

It won't be rolled back. And **DDL changes will not be there anyway**.



The journey: consumption client

While developing our consumption client, our line of thinking was: **no use keeping track of events that don't change data**.

Like transaction begins and commits.

We cared only for Inserts, updates, deletes, and maybe truncates.

Spoiler! It was a crappy idea.









Developing our Consumption Client

Logical replication slot

The slot is persistent, regardless of an active connection. And will store the consumption status, using two offsets, restart, and flush LSN*.

LSNs are pointers to given locations in the WAL. Logical replication clients must periodically push consumption status to update the slot.

* https://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/datatype-pg-lsn.html



LSN Examples

BEGIN 4/98EE65C0 INSERT 4/98EE65C0 UPDATE 4/98EE66D8 UPDATE 4/98EE6788 COMMIT 4/98EE6830 START TRANSACTION;

INSERT INTO track (description, duration) VALUES ('Reading', 360000); UPDATE track_total SET duration = duration + 360000; UPDATE user SET entries = entries + 1; COMMIT;

BEGIN 4/98EE6950 UPDATE 4/98EE6AD8 UPDATE 4/98EE6D28 UPDATE 4/98EE6DD8 COMMIT 4/98EE6F30 START TRANSACTION; UPDATE track SET duration = duration + 360000; UPDATE track_total SET duration = duration + 360000; UPDATE users SET entries = entries + 1; COMMIT;

BEGIN 4/98EE6F68 INSERT 4/98EE6F68 COMMIT 4/98EE7040

INSERT INTO users (email, password) VALUES ('@.com', '...');



Without Concurrency

BEGIN	LSN000001	BEGIN	LSN000001
INSERT	LSN000002	INSERT	LSN00002
UPDATE	LSN000003	UPDATE	E LSN00003
UPDATE	LSN000004	UPDATE	E LSN00004
COMMIT	LSN000005	COMMI	T LSN00005
BEGIN	LSN000006	BEGIN	LSN00006
INSERT	LSN000007	INSERT	LSN000007
UPDATE	LSN00008	UPDATE	E LSN00008
UPDATE	LSN000009	UPDATE	E LSN00009
COMMIT	LSN000010	COMMI	T LSN000010
BEGIN INSERT UPDATE UPDATE COMMIT	LSN000011 LSN000012 LSN000013 LSN000014 LSN000015	BEGIN INSERT UPDATE UPDATE COMMI	LSN000011 LSN000012 LSN000013 LSN000014 LSN000015
	BEGIN INSERT UPDATE UPDATE COMMIT BEGIN INSERT UPDATE COMMIT BEGIN INSERT UPDATE UPDATE UPDATE UPDATE UPDATE	BEGINLSN000001INSERTLSN000002UPDATELSN000003UPDATELSN000004COMMITLSN000005BEGINLSN000006INSERTLSN000007UPDATELSN000008UPDATELSN000009COMMITLSN000010BEGINLSN000011INSERTLSN000011UPDATELSN000012UPDATELSN000013UPDATELSN000013UPDATELSN000014	BEGINLSN000001BEGININSERTLSN000002INSERTUPDATELSN000003UPDATEUPDATELSN000004UPDATECOMMITLSN000005COMMITBEGINLSN000006BEGININSERTLSN000007INSERTUPDATELSN000008UPDATEUPDATELSN00009COMMITCOMMITLSN000010BEGININSERTLSN000011INSERTUPDATELSN000012UPDATEUPDATELSN000013UPDATEUPDATELSN000013UPDATEUPDATELSN000014COMMIT



Without Concurrency



WAL



With Concurrency

T1	BEGIN	LSN000001	BEGIN	LSN000001
	INSERT	LSN000002	INSERT	LSN000002
	UPDATE	LSN00008	BEGIN	LSN000003
	UPDATE	LSN000014	INSERT	LSN00004
	COMMIT	LSN000015	UPDATE	LSN000005
T2	BEGIN	LSN000003	BEGIN	LSN00006
	INSERT	LSN000004	INSERT	LSN000007
	UPDATE	LSN000005	UPDATE	LSN00008
	UPDATE	LSN000009	UPDATE	LSN00009
	COMMIT	LSN000011	UPDATE	LSN000010
тз			COMMI	LSN000011
			UPDATE	LSN000012
		LSN000007	COMMI	LSN000013
			UPDATE	LSN000014
			COMMI	LSN000015
	COMMINI	LONUUUUIO		



With Concurrency





Concurrency

As we were intentionally disregarding transactions, all we had to work with were data-changing events and their offsets.

Operation Order:		Log:		Replication Stream:	
INSERT LSN000	002 INSERT	LSN000002	INSERT	LSN000004	
UPDATE LSN000	008 INSERT	LSN000004	UPDATE	LSN000005	
UPDATE LSN000	011 UPDATE	LSN000005		LSN000009	
INSERT LSN000	004 INSERT	LSN000007	UPDATE	LSN000007	
UPDATE LSN000	005 UPDATE	LSN000008		LSN000010	
UPDATE LSN000	009 UPDATE	LSN000009	UPDATE	LSN000014	
INSERT LSN000	007 UPDATE	LSN000010	INSERT	LSN00002	
UPDATE LSN000	010 UPDATE	LSN000011	UPDATE	LSN000008	
UPDATE LSN000	014 UPDATE	LSN000014	UPDATE	LSN000011	



Fast forwarding...

We attempted to live with the conditions that we found outside dev environments.

A mix of **bad assumptions**, the **ideal conditions for confusion** due to our first use Case (summing up tracked time / commutative property), and **lack of knowledge** about logical replication inner works led to dark times trying to figure out why our brand new OLAP data was - sometimes - inconsistent.

UPDATE UPDATE	LSN000011 LSN000014	-1+3 -1+2 10	-2+1 +2 10
UPDATE UPDATE	LSN000009 LSN000010	-1+4 -2+1	-1+3 -1+2
UPDATE	LSN00008	-1+2	-1+4
INSERT	LSN000007	+2	+3
UPDATE	LSN000005	-3+1	-1+2
INSERT	LSN000004	+3	-3+1
INSERT	LSN000002	+1	+1



Bad: Assuming incremental LSNs

We assumed that LSNs were incremental cross-transactions.

Every logical replication event comes with an LSN offset which corresponds to a location in the WAL, but logging happens concurrently.

T1 BE	GIN	LSN000001	T2 BEGIN	LSN000003	INSERT	LSN000002
IN	SERT	LSN00002	UPDATE	LSN000004	UPDATE	LSN000005
UF	PDATE	LSN000005	UPDATE	LSN000007	UPDATE	LSN000004
CC	DMMIT	LSN000006	COMMIT	LSN00008	UPDATE	LSN000007



Bad: Assuming incremental LSNs

We first attempted to solve the issue by tracking the current LSN and **discarding data with offsets smaller** than our current position. We were under the wrong impression that we would have incremental LSN offsets.

INSERT	LSN000002
UPDATE	LSN000005
UPDATE	LSN000004
UPDATE	LSN000007

In this example by filtering for LNS > "5" we would discard the first data event from the next transaction.



Bad: Commiting ops offsets

After figuring out that our data events presented "out-of-order" offsets. We fell into another nuance when we stopped discarding data based on expecting an incremental offset:

We would duplicate it on reconnection, by committing operation offsets.

INSERT	L SN00002	If our logical	INSERT	L SN00002	But on
UPDATE	LSN000005	_ replication	UPDATE	LSN000005 -	reconnection we
UPDATE	LSN000004	client exited at	INSERT	LSN000002	would receive the
UPDATE	LSN000007	"5", we would	UPDATE	LSN000005	last transaction al
		commit LSN	UPDATE	LSN000004 LSN000007	over, duplicating
		"5" to pg.			data.



Key points

A few key points became clear laying the path to a proper implementation:





Key points

- The replication stream data is sorted data by transaction end offsets
- When we commit LSN offsets that pertain to mid-transaction events, pg will resent the whole transaction again upon reconnection.
- Events for a given transaction are always streamed together, regardless of log positioning.

BEGIN LSN000001 INSFRT LSN000002 UPDATE LSN00005 LSN000006 COMMIT BFGIN LSN000003 UPDATE LSN000004 UPDATE LSN000007 COMMIT LSN00008



Key points

^{T1} BEGIN LSN000001 INSERT LSN000002 UPDATE LSN000005 COMMIT LSN000006

Committing offset "6" doesn't prevent data in the next transaction from being sent.

T2 BEGIN LSN000003 -UPDATE LSN000004 -UPDATE LSN000007 COMMIT LSN000008

All transaction events are resent upon reconnection if the offset that we **committed to the replication slot is not the transaction end** - or bigger.



Conclusion

By always committing the transaction end LSN we make sure that we process all data-changing events respecting their transactional integrity.

Only **committing the appropriated offset will allow us to correctly manage the slot state**. That is, without additional stateful logic on the client side.









The Next Chapter

Our vision

The CDC pipeline created by our logical replication client became the source of data for many of our endeavors:

- Generalist data aggregations.
- User-generated reporting.
- Real-time business intelligence reporting.
- Our authorization system.





Our vision

On top of our CDC pipeline, we can then achieve whatever transformations are needed.

Scale horizontally and, if we choose to, segregate by feature or general domain.





How it's going: domain segregation

To better scale, we also started **decoupling domains from our main service**.

As a result, our **authorization system** needed to **source data from different DBs**:

- Authorization (roles, permissions, and their relations to users).
- Subscriptions (plans, features, status).
- Remaining transactional data (subject to business logic).



How it's going

As we split domain responsibilities into different services, more sources of data were added.





How it's going: domain segregation

Dealing with logical replication inner works was just the beginning of the journey.





Authorization use-case



Our freshly developed CDC couldn't look better to achieve this goal.

We figured that we could simply ingest data from all three sources and pre-compute user session data.

We don't want users to have to wait very long for their 50k project list to load.

Authorization use-case

Pre-computed Sessions

Will tell us what workspaces a user has access to, with which permissions, and the projects that he can see in them.

Behind the scenes, our **OLAP transformation is pre-generating user sessions** by applying subscription, authorization, and business logic to data changes.



Authorization use-case



Sessions are expected to be **updated fast**. Users won't want to wait very long for their newly created project to be available for use.

That's challenging.

Especially if you have users with 100k project lists.



Tips & Tricks

Cross our implementations, while striving for near "real-time" data transformations, we collected a few do's and don't do's.



Tips & Tricks: Embrace duplication

While the proper use of LSN event offsets **fully prevents data loss, it doesn't prevent data duplication**. On plug-off events, it will happen.

Embrace that possibility. Take advantage of event atomicity on the OLAP transformation and make sure that the operations are idempotent.

Tip?

Use of an **updated** timestamp in your transactional tables. Make sure that every change correctly updates it *(ie.: through triggers)*. And make use of it in your transformations to dedup.



Tips & Tricks: Bulk updates

Processing data changes individually is challenging.

Prepare your pipeline to deal with events in bulk.

Tip?

Add a small delay in your data collection and bulk upload changes. **Ingest and transform data in bulk**. *Use upsert to keep it a single operation*.



Tips & Tricks: Bulk updates

INSERT INTO transformation (

```
user_id,
total_time,
updated_at
```

)

SELECT

```
(d->>'user_id')::int AS user_id,
(d->>'total_time')::int AS total_time,
(d->>'updated_at')::timestamp without time zone AS updated_at
FROM JSON_ARRAY_ELEMENTS($1::jsonb) d
ON CONFLICT ON CONSTRAINT transformation_pkey
DO UPDATE SET
total_time = excluded.total_time,
updated_at = excluded.updated_at
WHERE
transformation.updated_at <
    excluded.updated_at <
    excluded.updated_at;
```



Tips & Tricks: Statement triggers

If we update multiple rows, the transformation will run multiple times with **row-based triggers**. Make sure that logic uses statement changes instead.

Tip?

Use **FOR EACH STATEMENT** triggers to the detriment of **FOR EACH ROW** triggers. If you must use row triggers, use conditioning to make sure that the transformation is only executed if the change is relevant to it.



Tips & Tricks: Statement triggers

CREATE TRIGGER after_update AFTER UPDATE ON transformation REFERENCING NEW TABLE AS new_table OLD TABLE AS old_table FOR EACH STATEMENT EXECUTE FUNCTION olap.update_team_goals();

CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION olap.update_team_goals() RETURNS trigger LANGUAGE plpgsql AS \$\$ BEGIN WITH changes AS (

```
SELECT t.team_id, SUM(new_table.total_time - COALESCE(old_table.total_time, 0)) AS total_time
FROM relations.user_teams t
JOIN new_table ON t.user_id = new_table.user_id
LEFT JOIN old_table ON new_table.user_id = old_table.user_id
GROUP BY t.team_id
)
UPDATE olap.team_goals tg SET total_time = total_time + changes.total_time
FROM changes WHERE tg.team_id = changes.team_id;
```

RETURN NULL;

END;

\$\$;



Tips & Tricks: Inclusive Indexes

When creating OLAP structures from normalized data changes, you will find yourself looking into relationship tables to find parent ids, *for instance*.

Using **inclusive indexes saves an extra trip to the table** to retrieve the information itself.



Tips & Tricks: Inclusive Indexes

```
CREATE SCHEMA relations;
CREATE TABLE relations.user_teams (
    user_id integer NOT NULL,
    team_id integer NOT NULL,
    CONSTRAINT user_teams_pkey PRIMARY KEY (user_id, team_id)
);
```

```
INSERT INTO relations.user_teams (user_id, team_id)
SELECT (random() * 10000)::int AS user_id, (random() * 1000)::int AS team_id
FROM generate_series(1, 1000000)
GROUP BY 1, 2;
```

SELECT user id, COUNT(1) FROM relations.user teams GROUP BY user id ORDER BY 2 DESC LIMIT 1;

```
CREATE INDEX simple_user_index ON relations.user_teams (user_id);
EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT team id FROM relations.user teams WHERE user id = 1560;
```

CREATE INDEX inclusive_user_index ON relations.user_teams (user_id) INCLUDE (team_id); EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT team id FROM relations.user teams WHERE user id = 1560;



Tips & Tricks: Inclusive Indexes

EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT team id FROM relations.user teams WHERE user id = 1560

```
Index Scan using simple_user_index on relations.user_teams (cost=0.42..4.29 rows=95 width=4)
(actual time=12.193..12.220 rows=128 loops=1)
Output: team_id
Index Cond: (user_teams.user_id = 1560)
Planning Time: 0.116 ms
Execution Time: 12.253 ms
```

vs

```
Index Only Scan using inclusive_user_index on relations.user_teams (cost=0.42..3.19 rows=95
width=4) (actual time=0.077..0.089 rows=128 loops=1)
Output: team_id
Index Cond: (user_teams.user_id = 1560)
Heap Fetches: 0
Planning Time: 0.129 ms
Execution Time: 0.112 ms
```



In a situation where **sourced data is unstructured**, we may want to expose some properties, so they are directly indexable, for instance.

Make use of generated columns to avoid scanning through unstructured data at run time.



```
INSERT INTO olap.unstructured (dump)
SELECT JSONB_BUILD_OBJECT('user_id', (random() * 10000)::int, 'total_time', (random() * 1000)::int,
'goal', (random() * 1000)::int + 1 ) FROM generate series(1, 100000000);
```

CREATE INDEX unstructured gin index ON olap.unstructured USING GIN (dump);

EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT COUNT(1) FROM olap.unstructured
WHERE (dump->>'total time')::int >= (dump->>'goal')::int;

ALTER TABLE olap.unstructured ADD COLUMN goal_completed boolean GENERATED ALWAYS AS ((dump->>'total_time')::int >= (dump->>'goal')::int) STORED; CREATE INDEX goal completed index ON olap.unstructured (goal completed);

EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT COUNT(1) FROM olap.unstructured WHERE goal completed;



EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT COUNT(1) FROM olap.unstructured
WHERE (dump->>'total time')::int >= (dump->>'goal')::int;

Finalize Aggregate (cost=2292731.42..2292731.43 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=74036.280..74047.599 rows=1 loops=1)

Output: count(1)

-> Gather (cost=2292731.00..2292731.41 rows=4 width=8) (actual time=74036.072..74047.584 rows=5 loops=1)

```
Output: (PARTIAL count(1))...
```

-> Partial Aggregate (cost=2291731.00..2291731.01 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=74029.976..74029.977 rows=1 loops=5)

Output: PARTIAL count(1)...

-> **Parallel Seq Scan** on olap.unstructured (cost=0.00..2270481.00 rows=8500000 width=0) (actual time=0.477..73068.822 rows=10190650 loops=5)

Filter: (((unstructured.dump ->> 'total_time'::text))::integer >=
((unstructured.dump ->> 'goal'::text))::integer)
Rows Removed by Filter: 10209350...
Planning Time: 10.810 ms

Execution Time: 74048.038 ms



EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT COUNT(1) FROM olap.unstructured WHERE goal_completed;

Finalize Aggregate (cost=692971.65..692971.66 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=3173.567..3195.634 rows=1 loops=1)

Output: count(1)

-> Gather (cost=692971.23..692971.64 rows=4 width=8) (actual time=3173.488..3195.625 rows=5 loops=1)

Output: (PARTIAL count(1))...

-> Partial Aggregate (cost=691971.23..691971.24 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=3165.396..3165.397 rows=1 loops=5)

Output: PARTIAL count(1)...

-> Parallel **Index Only Scan** using goal_completed_index on olap.unstructured (cost=0.57..660276.85 rows=12677752 width=0) (actual time=0.161..2484.704 rows=10190650 loops=5)

Output: goal_completed

Index Cond: (unstructured.goal_completed = true)

Heap Fetches: 0...

Planning Time: 0.234 ms

Execution Time: 3195.690 ms



Tips & Tricks: JSONB

When handling or storing JSON, the binary format can offer advantages, but using **JSONB functions** for smaller - looping - sub-operations **degrades performance** due to the parsing overhead.

Execute operations in JSON, and cast the result to JSONB instead.



Tips & Tricks: JSONB

```
EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT JSONB BUILD OBJECT ('obj', obj) FROM (
     SELECT JSONB OBJECT AGG(user id, tracked obj) AS obj FROM (
          SELECT user id, JSONB OBJECT AGG(team id, JSONB BUILD OBJECT('total time', (random() *
1000)::int)) AS tracked obj FROM (
                SELECT (random() * 10000)::int AS user id, (random() * 10000)::int AS team id
                FROM generate series (1, 100000)
          ) qen
          GROUP BY user id
     ) agg
) foo;
Subquery Scan on foo (cost=50005.01..50005.03 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=6325.727..6368.489
rows=1 loops=1)
 Output: jsonb build object('obj', foo.obj)
  -> Aggregate (cost=50005.01..50005.02 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=5503.496..5503.499 rows=1
loops=1)
        Output: jsonb object agg((..., (jsonb object agg(..., jsonb build object('total time',...)
        -> HashAggregate (... (actual time=3535.802..4119.971 rows=10001 loops=1)...
Planning Time: 0.174 ms
```

Execution Time: 6412.477 ms



Tips & Tricks: JSONB

```
EXPLAIN (ANALYSE, VERBOSE) SELECT JSONB BUILD OBJECT ('obj', obj) FROM (
     SELECT JSON OBJECT AGG (user id, tracked obj) AS obj FROM (
          SELECT user id, JSON OBJECT AGG (team id, JSON BUILD OBJECT ('total time', (random() *
1000)::int)) AS tracked obj FROM (
                SELECT (random() * 10000)::int AS user id, (random() * 10000)::int AS team id
                FROM generate series (1, 100000)
          ) qen
          GROUP BY user id
     ) agg
) foo;
Subquery Scan on foo (cost=50005.01..50005.03 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=3295.757..3345.740
rows=1 loops=1)
 Output: jsonb build object('obj', foo.obj)
  -> Aggregate (cost=50005.01..50005.02 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=1846.187..1846.190 rows=1
loops=1)
        Output: json object agg((..., (json object agg(..., json build object('total time',...)
        -> HashAggregate (... (actual time=1765.143..1776.300 rows=10001 loops=1)...
Planning Time: 0.104 ms
```

Execution Time: 3355.383 ms



Thank you.



José Neves 9 @rafalneves

DM Tech Lead at Toggl Track